

Message

---

**From:** Ex. 5 Attorney Work Product (AWP)  
**Sent:** 5/11/2017 4:52:22 PM  
**To:** Shea, Valois [Shea.Valois@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** Fw: Comments on Dewey-Burdock in situ uranium extraction

Pls send acknowledgement that you have received comment.

**From:** Ex. 5 Attorney Work Product (AWP)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 10, 2017 2:47 PM  
**To:** shea.valois@epa.gov  
**Subject:** Comments on Dewey-Burdock in situ uranium extraction

May 10, 2017

Hot Springs, SD

#1. Although it is not EPA's business to figure out whether a proposed project is financially viable, I question whether Power Tech's plan will ever fly, given the current market for uranium.

#2. I think you are getting an earful about potential adverse effects here at the Hot Springs hearing. The auditorium is full and speakers are lined up. The ones I heard opposed the project, largely because ONCE YOU DAMAGE THE WATER, YOU CANNOT FIX IT. I agree with that. It is an irreversible, irretrievable impact. We are not convinced by Power Tech's assurances of safety.

Ex. 5 Attorney Work Product (AWP)